UNITE	<u>ed States Patent a</u>	nd Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPARTM United States Patent and TP Address: COMMISSIONER OF P. Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov	rademark Office
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,604	06/01/2001	Robert E. Callies	Lindsay 51	2262
75	90 10/09/2002			
Joel H. Bock, COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. 200 West Adams Street - Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60606			EXAMINER	
			DOUGLAS, LISA ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- 0-,			3752	
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002	

C

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

.

.

ì

1 A		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/872,604	CALLIES ET AL.
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Lisa A. Douglas	3752
The MAILI. Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
 THE MAILING DA Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS If the period for reply s If NO period for reply within Failure to reply within Any reply received by 	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION y be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r s specified above, the maximum statutory peri he set or extended period for reply will, by stat the Office later than three months after the ma sustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) 🛛 Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	<u>1 June 2001</u> .	
2a) This action	is FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this closed in a Disposition of Claim	ccordance with the practice und	wance except for formal ma er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
•	28 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.	
	bove claim(s) is/are withd		
	is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	28 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
9) 🗌 The specific	ation is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing	(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant m	ay not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The propose	d drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗌 approved b) 🗌 d	isapproved by the Examiner.
•••	corrected drawings are required in		
	declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S			
-	ment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
· — · —	Some * c) None of:		
	ied copies of the priority docume		
_	ied copies of the priority docume		· · ·
a	s of the certified copies of the pr oplication from the International E hed detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Ū.
14) Acknowledgn	nent is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	nslation of the foreign language p ment is made of a claim for dome		
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

í

Application/Control Number: 09/872,604 Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 10,

last line after "09/727181"—now U.S. Patent No. 6,374,853—should be inserted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regard to claim 22, line 1 "the adaptor cavity-lacks clear and proper

antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-13, 15-24, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Hane.

l.

Hane shows all of the basic device including a distribution tube assembly having

a main supply line 7, frame 4, two fluid passageways 2 and 3, adaptor 6, web

and valve 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 14 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Hane in view of Lew.

Hane shows all of the basic device except for a third passageway. Lew shows

another distribution tube assembly having a third passageway. It would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

to modify the Hane device to have a third passageway to achieve the desire

spray coverage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lisa A. Douglas whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0265. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Tues.

Application/Control Number: 09/872,604 Art Unit: 3752

د ھ

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7766 for regular communications and (703) 308-7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Lisa A. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

ld October 8, 2002

۱